



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/437,016 | 11/09/1999 | YOSHIZO MIHARA | 450100-02165 | 7418 | |
| 20999 | 7590 11/15/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG | | | FLETCHER, JAMES A | | |
| 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2616 | , | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/437,016 | MIHARA ET AL. | | | | |
| , and any modeln | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | James A. Fletcher | 2616 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office | date on which the petition under 37 CFI date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the mail | R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Co. 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's | , | eriod set forth in | | | | |
| 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 1. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appl | roved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | _ | | | | |
| | Az | dw Frank | | | | |
| | ANI | DREW FAILE | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER PAROF Paper No. 041104

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed after final amendments to claims 1, 8, and 9, by adding additional wording such as "wherein concerted operation of respective parts of said at least one input processing means, said at least one output processing means, and said switching means is caused on the basis of said control command" change the scope of the claims and therefore raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search to determine patentability of the proposed claims after final..